

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,118	01/28/2004	Shun-Cheng Lee	007198-583	9710
21839	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	N INGERSOLL PC	PASTERCZYK, JAMES W		
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	A, VA 22313-1404		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,118	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder in the period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The solution for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the solution for allow closed.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•	is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the second secon	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ of ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Agriculty documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. This Office action is in response to the IDS filed 8/11/04.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should include the specific examples of the acid and the binder for the sake of clarity; it should also delete the first sentence as duplicative of the last, and in the second sentence change "be" to --by--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The drawings are objected to because figures 3 and 4 have many extraneous dots and smudges in the areas around the drawn lines in places where there should only be white paper. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1755

4. Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, change "including" to --comprising-- for clear open Markush language, and in claim 6, l. 1, insert --said-- after "wherein" for clear antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemeth et al., USP 6,191,323 (hereafter referred to as Nemeth).

Nemeth discloses the invention substantially as claimed (examples 3 and 5).

Nemeth lacks disclosure of the separate addition of the alcohols, instead they being generated by reaction of the compounds actually introduced.

However, a routineer in the art would have considered that the presence of an alcohol could have inferred its separate addition.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply that skill to the disclosure of Nemeth with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a highly-useful method of making a catalyst and the catalyst itself with the expected advantage of the catalyst being able to reduce ketones or aldehydes to alcohols.

7. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinnavaia et al., USP 6,413,902 (hereafter referred to as Pinnavaia).

Pinnavaia discloses the invention substantially as claimed (col. 17, l. 53 to col. 18, l. 11; example 10).

EUZABETH WOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1755

Pinnavaia lacks clear disclosure that water is included in its composition before the first heating step.

However, it is conventional that water is included in a solution of tetraorthosilicate that is used in preparation of a sol or gel as appears to be occurring in this example.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply that skill to the disclosure of Pinnavaia with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a highly-useful method of making a catalyst with the expected benefit of the catalyst being very active for oxidation of substituted aromatics.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Pasterczyk